Degradation of Sulfamethazine by Means of an Improved Photo-Fenton Process Involving a Hydrogen Peroxide Systematic Dosage

Despite being acknowledged as an emerging contaminant, Sulfamethazine (SMT) degradation has received scarce attention in the Advanced Oxidation Processes field. Thus, this work addresses the degradation of SMT in water solutions (12 L of 25 mg L⁻¹ samples) by means of a photo-Fenton process and a systematic H₂O₂ dosage protocol that enhances its performance. A conventional photo-Fenton process led to 86% mineralization after 120 minute treatment when adding the Fenton reactants at once (initial concentrations were 10 mg L⁻¹ Fe(II) and 200 mg L⁻¹ H₂O₂). Conversely, the process achieved the total mineralization of the samples in less than 75 minutes when the same amount of H₂O₂ was continuously dosed according to a conveniently tuned dosage protocol. In both cases, total SMT degradation was achieved within 10 minutes. Hence, this work’s aim is to determine the efficient dosage conditions of H₂O₂. The results show that a significant improvement of the photo-Fenton mineralization of SMT solutions is possible by adjusting the dosage of H₂O₂.
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Introduction

Antibiotics have been detected in worldwide ecosystems during the last three decades. However, interest in them as contaminants has grown only recently because of their persistent character and their increasing concentration levels in ground water, surface water, and even in drinking water [1-3]. The presence of antibiotics in natural ecosystems is acknowledged to alter normal flora and causes live organisms to develop resistance [1].

Antibiotics and other pharmaceuticals have been detected in sewage treatment plants. Martínez-Bueno et al. [4] have analyzed the persistence of organic emerging contaminants in Spain, and data from five plants for over two years of monitoring show
that sulfamethazine is among those compounds detected at concentrations above 1 μg/L whose removal efficiency was rated as low (<50%).

Sulfamethazine (SMT) or sulfamidine (4-amino-N-(4,6-dimethylpyrimidin-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide) is an antibacterial sulfonamide used in veterinary and human medicine. According to García-Galán et al. [5], SMT and its metabolites have been detected in waters, from which it is uncertain whether they can be remediated by conventional water treatments. Thus, research is required for alternative processes that could ensure mineralization of solutions of this emerging contaminant.

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), based on the generation of highly oxidant hydroxyl radicals (●OH), have been used as an alternative to remediate persistent contaminants from conventional water treatment plants influents and effluents: in the first case they are used to prevent the inactivation of the microorganisms used in the biological process, while in the second case they are used to refine the effluent before its final disposal [6].

Among the alternatives to remove recalcitrant contaminants, photo-Fenton and photolytic processes have demonstrated to be an efficient way to remediate antibiotics and other pharmaceuticals. Photolysis consists of the chemical decomposition of the contaminant by the absorption of energy at a given wavelength, while photo-Fenton process is an advanced oxidation process that consists of the reaction between an Fe(II) salt and H₂O₂ to generate hydroxyl radicals in the presence of irradiation of an appropriate wavelength [7].

There is copious literature exploring Fenton, photo-Fenton, and UV/H₂O₂ alternatives for the treatment of industrial effluents, mostly connected to pulp and paper and dyes and tannery applications. More recently, research in this field has also deepened into the effect of the effect and toxicity of reaction intermediates [8, 9].
The treatment of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCP) in water solutions has also received attention. Photolysis of antibiotics has been addressed in the literature, as reviewed by Canonica et al. [10]. The photodegradation of sulphonamides such as sulphamethoxazole [11, 12] and sulfadiazine [13] has been reported. In particular, Kim and Tanaka [14] and Kim et al. [15] have investigated the photodegradation of SMT and other sulfa antibiotics at 254 nm and different experimental conditions. Ozonation [16] and UV/persulfate oxidation [17] have also proven to be effective for the degradation of SMT and other antibiotics. However, only the research by Pérez-Moya et al., [18, 19] has addressed SMT degradation by means of the photo-Fenton process.

The photo-Fenton process, which involves the presence of the hydroxyl radical as a highly oxidant species, offers a more efficient way to degrade recalcitrant contaminants. However, the hydroxyl radical is very unstable and non-selective, so it is frequently scavenged by undesired secondary reactions, some of them involving H₂O₂ consumption, which reduce the efficiency of the process [20]. A lot of research has been dedicated to improving the process performance, and the continuous batch-wise dosing of H₂O₂ has been revealed as a very promising option [21-24].

Very recently, Yamal-Turbay et al. [25] proposed a systematic H₂O₂ dosage protocol based on an initial reagent release \((y_0)\) and a continuous inlet flow starting at a given time \((t_{ini})\) and finishing after a defined time span \((\Delta T_{add})\). Given a fixed amount of H₂O₂ to be dosed \((Q^{H₂O₂})\) and the dosage time \((\Delta T_{add})\), the appropriate combination of two factors, namely \(t_{ini}\) and \(y_0\), showed improvement in the mineralization of model samples of commercial instant coffee. Thus, this work’s goal is to improve the performance of the photo-Fenton process in remediating and mineralizing SMT sample solutions by applying this dosage protocol.
Experimental

Materials

12 L of 25 mg L\(^{-1}\) SMT samples were prepared in tap water using 99% Sigma-Aldrich SMT. pH was set at 3.0±0.1 by adding HCl 1 M (J.T. Baker). 7-hydrated Fe(II) sulfate (Merck) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) 30% w/v (Panreac) were used as received. HPLC gradient grade acetonitrile and filtered milli-Q grade water were used for HPLC determinations. A stock of ammonium metavanadate (Riedel-de-Haën) 0.062 M in H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (Panreac) 0.58 M was used for the determination of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration.

Pilot Plant

An automated pilot plant was used in this study. It includes two main units, namely a Pyrex reservoir and a tubular photo-reactor provided with a PL-L-55W low pressure Hg lamp in a quartz envelope, emitting mostly at 254 nm. The plant is provided with a pumping system that guarantees perfect mixing for a recirculation flow above 7.7 L min\(^{-1}\) and it was operated at 11.3 L min\(^{-1}\). The plant is equipped with a SCADA system that allows programming the inlet flow of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) to the reactor through a peristaltic pump. The experiments were carried out at pH = 3.0±0.5 and temperature 24±5°C.

Yamal-Turbay et al. [25] proposed a characterization of the dosage of the total load (Q\(_{H2O2}\)) based on the addition of a fraction of this load (y\(_0\)) at the process startup (t\(_0\)=0) and the subsequent addition of the rest of the load by a ramp defined by its initial time (t\(_{ini}\)) and its duration (AT\(_{add}\)). Hence, the amount q(t) and the fraction y(t) added at each time t is given by:
\[
 y(t) = \begin{cases} 
 0 & \text{if } t > 0 \\
 y_0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < t_{\text{ini}} \\
 y_0 + m(t - t_{\text{ini}}) & \text{if } t_{\text{ini}} \leq t < t_{\text{ini}} + \Delta t_{\text{add}} \\
 1 & \text{if } t \geq t_{\text{ini}} + \Delta t_{\text{add}} 
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore, the slope of the ramp is given by:

\[
m = \frac{(1 - y_0)}{\Delta t_{\text{add}}}
\]

Given \(Q^{H_2O_2}\) and \(\Delta t_{\text{add}}\), this dosage protocol allows a \(2^2\) factorial experimental design with \(t_{\text{ini}}\) and \(y_0\) as factors, from which the enhancement of the process can be derived. Yamal-Turbay et al. [25] showed the potential of this approach by addressing the mineralization of model coffee samples. In a similar way, this work studies the influence of dosage in SMT degradation following an equivalent protocol that allows designing a \(2^2\) factorial scheme with star points.

Hydrogen peroxide loads will be referred to as the amount to be dosed \(Q^{H_2O_2}\) (mg). For comparative purposes with the true \(H_2O_2\) initial concentration in the pure batch mode (no dosage), \(C_0^{H_2O_2}\), the equivalent concentration \(C_{eq,\infty}^{H_2O_2} = Q^{H_2O_2} V_R^{-1}\) (mg/mL) will also be used. This is the concentration that would be obtained after the addition of this amount to a reactor of fixed volume \(V_R\).

**Analytical Methods**

Total organic carbon (TOC), SMT, and \(H_2O_2\) concentrations were measured at regular time intervals throughout the process span as indicators of process evolution and effluent quality.

TOC was determined by means of a Shimadzu V\(_{\text{CHS/CSN}}\) TOC analyzer, with a detection limit of 1 mg L\(^{-1}\). \(H_2O_2\) concentration was measured using the
spectrophotometric method proposed by Nogueira et al. [26] based on the reaction with ammonium metavanadate.

For measuring SMT concentration, 20 µL samples were manually injected into an Agilent 1200 series, provided with a UV-DAD array detector set at 274 nm (Agilent Chem-Station (Rev.B.04.06 SP1[647]) software) and a C18 5 µm 150x4.6 mm Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 column (Agilent Technologies), and operated at 25ºC. The mobile phase was a mixture of acetonitrile:water (45:55) flowing at 1.5 mL min⁻¹; a six-level calibration curve ranging from 0-50 mg L⁻¹ was used for SMT quantification; and the retention time for SMT under these conditions was 1.2 minutes.

Results and Discussion

_Fenton Reagent_

For comparative purposes, SMT concentrations and Fenton reagent loads were established according to concentrations reported elsewhere [18], which are also in agreement with the detection limit of the available equipment. In that reference work, 1 L of 50 mg L⁻¹ SMT solutions were used; Fe(II) initial concentrations ranged from 12 to 68 mg L⁻¹; and H₂O₂ concentrations ranged from 176 to 1024 mg L⁻¹.

Conversely, in this work Fe(II) concentration was set at 10 mg L⁻¹, the legal limit for liquid effluents in Spain [27]. As a consequence of this reduction in the iron load and taking into account the detection limit of the TOC analyzer, the SMT concentration was fixed at 25 mg L⁻¹, despite being higher than actual concentrations detected in wastewaters, usually in the range of µg-ng L⁻¹. Different initial H₂O₂ concentrations were tested (12, 20, 40, 60, and 200 mg L⁻¹) including conditions below and above theoretical stoichiometric H₂O₂ (equal to 140.5 mg L⁻¹ for 25 mg L⁻¹ SMT).
Given iron concentration (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)), a set of experiments were conducted to select a convenient Fenton reagents ratio. First order rate constants for TOC degradation \(k_{TOC}\) were estimated and compared to identify the range of most promising H\(_2\)O\(_2\) loads (those producing higher initial reaction rates). Results (Fig. 1) show that initial H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentrations below 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) can increase initial reaction rate, which would confirm the benefit of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) dosage. Accordingly, the following conditions were selected for investigating the effect of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) dosage on the mineralization process:

- SMT initial concentration: 25 mg L\(^{-1}\)
- Fe(II) initial concentration: 10 mg L\(^{-1}\)
- Total H\(_2\)O\(_2\) load to be dosed: \(Q^{H_2O_2} = 2400\) mg (8.0 mL, 30\% w/v), meaning \(C_{eq,H_2O_2} = 200\) mg L\(^{-1}\).

**Blank Assays**

A preliminary set of blank assays was performed in order to characterize the influence of different factors (reagents and irradiation) on the evolution of SMT degradation. 25 mg L\(^{-1}\) SMT samples in tap water were used. Table 1 shows the SMT degradation results, which can be summarized as follows:

- 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) yields up to 98\% SMT degradation; irradiation alone leads to 90\% SMT degradation, which is in accordance with observations reported elsewhere [15]; and combined Fe(II)/UV achieves only 69\% (this is more than the scarce 13\% obtained with only 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) Fe(II), but less than the outcome of UV alone; the Fe(II) absorption of part of the energy irradiated explains such an efficiency decrease [28, 29]).
• Regarding mineralization, all blank assays produced less than 30% TOC reductions, from 8.9% in the case of H₂O₂ to 23.4% in the case of Fe(II)/UV. Thus, the reverse performance obtained by mineralization with respect to SMT degradation confirms the need for the combined use of Fe(II)/UV and H₂O₂.

The blank assays confirmed that long reaction times are required to yield a significant reduction of SMT concentration. Hence, new assays were proposed for the UV/H₂O₂, Fenton and photo-Fenton processes.

**SMT Degradation Performance**

Three different AOP alternatives were analyzed and compared in terms of the reduction of the concentrations of SMT (degradation) and TOC (mineralization). Figure 2 summarizes these results. Regarding SMT, UV/H₂O₂ requires 30 minutes to reduce 95% of its initial concentration. On the other hand, Fenton and photo-Fenton processes achieve similar performance only within 7.5 minutes. The three studied AOPs noticeably improve SMT degradation with respect to the blank assays discussed in the previous section.

Regarding mineralization, Fenton process reduces 55% of the initial TOC during the first 45 minutes of reaction. No further mineralization is observed even though there still remains some residual amount of H₂O₂; this is consistent with the drop of the cycling rate of Fe(III) into Fe(II) in the absence of irradiation [7]. The UV/H₂O₂ process stops as H₂O₂ is exhausted; still, it leads to a TOC reduction (67%) higher than that obtained by the Fenton process within the same time span. For the photo-Fenton process, H₂O₂ exhaustion occurs within 30 minutes, and TOC reduction reaches 86%. This mineralization clearly contrasts with the maximum 30% reached with blank assays.

All assays were replicated and the average absolute deviation of the measurements was always below 1.5 mg L⁻¹. In particular, Figure 3 plots the data...
obtained for the central assay of the Design of Experiments introduced next, and includes the corresponding error bars to illustrate the variability of data.

Once the higher outcome of the photo-Fenton treatment is demonstrated, the next step is to study the effect of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) dosage on the performance of the process.

**Influence of dosage on process performance**

The design of experiments (DOE) in Table 2 was undertaken to characterize the process performance as a function of the variables of the dosage protocol [25]. Given the total duration of the treatment (120 minutes), the length of the dosage interval (\( \Delta t_{add} \)) was decided on the basis of the preliminary assays and set to 60 minutes in order to limit the alternatives only to a couple of variables of interest. These variables are:

- \( y_0 \): defined as the fraction of the total \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) dose released at \( t = 0 \) min. The minimum and maximum values of this variable were taken as 10 and 30% (centered in 20%).
- \( t_{ini} \): the time when the rest of the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) starts to be continuously added at a constant flow during \( \Delta t_{add} \). DOE considers 0 and 30 minutes as minimum and maximum values for this variable, centered in 15 minutes.

Accordingly, from this point on, experiments are referred to as follows: \( \text{code}_{-y_0-}t_{ini} \), where “\( \text{code} \)” is a correlative letter. Thus, a unique identifier is coupled to each operating condition.

Given these two factors, the system’s response was assessed in two different ways. On the one hand, it is analyzed by the earliest sample time at which SMT is not detected (\( t_{SMT} \)). This indicator is given by the detection limit of the analytical method (0.5 ppm), which corresponds to more than 95% degradation of the initial SMT concentration. Obviously, the precision in determining this indicator is given by the
sample time, which is 2.5 minutes. On the other hand, the system performance is also assessed by the time at which mineralization occurs. The precision of this second indicator is given by the TOC detection limit (1 ppm) and the sample time, which in this case is higher: 10 minutes.

Hence, this study addresses the improvement of the process efficiency. Rigorous optimization is not intended. The factorial design employed is just a means for systematically exploring the region of interest and the study only shows how improved operational conditions may be found and identified. Thus, the characterization of the dual response will be later presented as a function of these two objectives that may be conflicting (Fig. 5).

Two reference assays were carried out: one with a total release of reagent at the initial reaction time, $t = 0$, (Ref_100), and the other one with a continuous inlet of H$_2$O$_2$ during the first 60 minutes assay (L_0_0). These experiments are compared to the central experiment of the DOE (Central_20_15) in Figure 4. The improvement of the process is shown to be clear for both dosage protocols, and total mineralization is achieved in less than a 90 minute treatment, when compared to 86% in 120 minutes when no dosage is applied. This observation conforms to the idea that gradual addition of H$_2$O$_2$ reduces the scavenging of hydroxyl radicals [20-23, 25, 30].

Regarding SMT, elimination in less than 15 minutes was observed in all cases, except the central assay (Central_20_15), which needed almost 30 minutes to degrade more than 95% of initial SMT.

As a summary of the DOE results, Figure 5 shows the relation between the time necessary to degrade 95% of initial SMT ($t_{SMT}$) and the time to degrade at least 92% of initial TOC ($t_{TOC}$) for all the DOE assays and the two reference ones. Only assay R_100 (86.1% TOC reduction) does not achieve 92% mineralization within a 120 minute
treatment, as it is obtained in all the cases where systematic dosage is applied. As indicated by Yamal-Turbay et al. [25] both factors, $y_0$ and $t_{ini}$, are related: the combination of low $y_0$ with low $t_{ini}$ is the one that leads to a better performance. When a low initial release is provided, it is necessary to start continuous dosage sooner to avoid reagent exhaustion, as may be observed in experiment B\_10\_0 and J\_6\_15.

As previously mentioned, the DOE provides evidence of the cross-effect between the factors of the dosage protocol. Process performance for different $y_0$ and $t_{ini}$ are compared in Figure 6 with the aim to visualize this effect. In experiment B\_10\_30, for instance, TOC reduction is particularly slow after the first 10 minutes, as $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ is exhausted, while it accelerates after reagent dosage starts after 30 minutes. Something similar occurs in experiment D\_30\_30, although $y_0=30\%$ appears to be enough to keep the process running until continuous dosage starts. Experiment A\_10\_0 exhibits a slower behavior compared to experiment C\_30\_0, but both are faster than B\_10\_30 and D\_30\_30 due to the early presence of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$.

Therefore, results show that, when conveniently tuned, hydrogen peroxide dosage accelerates the degradation of SMT and increases (up to 100%) the mineralization obtained at the end of the treatment span. This is achieved through an efficient process which uses the same amount of hydrogen peroxide, but reduces the treatment cost significantly.

**Conclusions**

The photo-Fenton treatment has proved to be effective in totally degrading 12 L of a 25 mg L$^{-1}$ SMT sample by using 10 mg L$^{-1}$ iron and 200 mg L$^{-1}$ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ initial concentrations. After the 120 minute treatment employed in this work, only 86% mineralization of the sample was achieved by adding the entire Fenton load at once. This represents an initial improvement of 30 percentage points with respect to previous
works that use larger amounts of reagents (Fe(II): 12-68 mg L\(^{-1}\), \(\text{H_2O}_2\): 176-1024 mg L\(^{-1}\)). However, further enhancement was next shown to be possible via \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) dosage.

Preliminary assays confirmed that an excess of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) during the first stages of the process reduces TOC degradation performance, which can be attributed to the scavenging of hydroxyl radicals. After that, dosage was investigated by applying a dosage protocol that permits a subsequent two-factor design of experiments. Hence, the systematic exploration of a set of dosage alternatives led to conditions yielding total mineralization of the problem sample in less than a 75 minute assay.

Relative to the performance obtained without the dosage, the dosage alternative yielded an improvement of 14 percent points in the timing of the process. Regarding SMT, total degradation is achieved in less than a 10 minute treatment with the appropriate combination of the dosage protocol variables.

Concerning the tuning of the dosage parameters, the design of experiments reveals that low \(y_0\) requires low \(t_{ini}\) in order to achieve both aims: fast mineralization and fast degradation. When higher \(y_0\) values are used, \(t_{ini}\) influence on SMT degradation diminishes, but more time is necessary to reach total mineralization.

Concerning the effect of the dosage parameters, the assays performed reveal that both fast degradation and fast mineralization are achieved when low \(y_0\) is paired with low \(t_{ini}\) (reducing initial load and starting the dosage sooner). Conversely, for higher \(y_0\) values, the influence of \(t_{ini}\) is lower, and the time to reach total mineralization is increased. However, determining the best pair of conditions \((y_0\) and \(t_{ini}\)) requires defining specific performance indices (or costs), and the results presented should not be taken as optimal. Such an investigation would be a particular application that could be addressed with the same approach presented.
Nonetheless, further work regarding different ranges of SMT concentrations should be considered. The value 25 mg L$^{-1}$ was set according to the capacity of both the analytical methods and the dosage equipment, but lower concentrations of emerging contaminants can be expected in water and wastewaters, as well as matrices of different species also affecting water quality. Scaling is beyond the scope of this work and it is still an issue to be addressed in each particular situation.
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Figure 1. *First order rate* constants for TOC degradation ($k_{TOC}$) versus $C_{eq,\infty}^{H_2O_2}$ for a 25 mg L$^{-1}$ SMT concentration and 10 mg L$^{-1}$ iron concentration.

Figure 2. TOC, SMT and H$_2$O$_2$ normalized concentration profiles for different AOPs. $C_0^{SMT} = 25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$; $C_0^{Fe(II)} = 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$; $C_0^{H_2O_2} = 200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$.

Figure 3. TOC, SMT and H$_2$O$_2$ normalized concentration profiles for the central assay of the design of experiments. $C_0^{SMT} = 25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$; $C_0^{Fe(II)} = 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$.

Figure 4. TOC, SMT and H$_2$O$_2$ normalized concentration profiles for different dosage protocols compared to reference experiment. $C_0^{SMT} = 25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$; $C_0^{Fe(II)} = 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$; $C_{eq,\infty}^{H_2O_2} = 200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$.

Figure 5. Relation between the time necessary to degrade 95% of initial SMT ($t_{SMT}$) and the time necessary to achieve total mineralization ($t_{TOC}$) for all of the DOE and reference assays. Final TOC conversion is indicated in brackets in the case when mineralization (100%) is not achieved (Assay R_100).

Figure 6. TOC, SMT and H$_2$O$_2$ normalized concentration profiles for different $y_0$ where $t_{ini} \geq 30 \text{ min}$. $C_0^{SMT} = 25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$; $C_0^{Fe(II)} = 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$; $C_{eq,\infty}^{H_2O_2} = 200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$.
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Table 1. SMT degradation and mineralizations achieved with blank assays at the end of the treatment span (120 min.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Final degradation (%)</th>
<th>Final mineralization (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(II) / UV</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Assays carried out according to the DOE. $C_{eq,x_0}^{H_2O_2} = 200$ mg L$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay*</th>
<th>Codified values</th>
<th>Variables levels</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$t_{ini}$</td>
<td>$y_0$</td>
<td>($min$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_10_0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_10_30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_30_0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_30_30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central_20_15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_20_0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_20_36</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J_6_15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.414</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_34_15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_0_0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref_100</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assay code corresponds to: X(correlative letter) Y($y_0$) Z($t_{ini}$)